

of non-symmetric matrix for which SOR will always converge provided that a suitable value of ω is chosen.

6. Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to the directors of the English Electric Co. for permission to publish this paper, and to his colleagues, F. Ford and J. M. Williamson, for their helpful comments and criticisms.

Atomic Power Division,
English Electric Co.,
Whetstone, Leicester.
England.

1. E. BODEWIG, *Matrix Calculus*, North Holland Publishing Co. Amsterdam, 1959.
2. G. E. FORSYTHE & W. R. WASOW, *Finite Difference Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, John Wiley and Sons., Inc., New York, 1960.
3. A. M. OSTROWSKI, "On the linear iteration procedures for symmetric matrices," *Rend. Mat. e Appl.* v. 13, 1954, p. 140.

On Inverses of Finite Segments of the Generalized Hilbert Matrix

By Jean L. Lavoie

The purpose of this note is to show that two theorems given by Smith [1] on inverses of finite segments of the generalized Hilbert Matrix can be proved in a simple manner by using results from the theory of generalized hypergeometric series.

The usual notation for generalized hypergeometric functions will be used:

$$(1) \quad {}_pF_q(z) = {}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_p \\ b_1 & \cdots & b_q \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = \sum_{K=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_K}{\prod_{j=1}^q (b_j)_K} \cdot \frac{z^K}{K!},$$

where

$$(\sigma)_\mu = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma + \mu)}{\Gamma(\sigma)}.$$

See Erdélyi [2], Chapters 2 and 4 for details.

Let H_n represent a finite segment of the generalized Hilbert matrix, i.e.,

$$(2) \quad H_n = (h_{ij}), \quad h_{ij} = (p + i + j - 1)^{-1}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Here n is the order of the segment and obviously

$$p \neq -1, -2, \dots, -(2n - 1).$$

We shall assume that the above conditions on i, j , and p hold throughout this paper.

It is well known that the inverses of the finite segments are given by:

$$S_n = (s_n^{ij})$$

$$(3) \quad s_n^{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{p+i+j-1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(n+p+i)\Gamma(n+p+j)}{\Gamma(i)\Gamma(j)\Gamma(p+i)\Gamma(p+j)\Gamma(n-i+1)\Gamma(n-j+1)},$$

(see Smith [1] for references).

Smith has proved the following theorems. If s_n^{ij} is defined by (3), then

$$(4) \quad I) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n s_n^{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n s_n^{ji} = (-1)^{n+j} \frac{(p+j)_n}{\Gamma(j)\Gamma(n-j+1)},$$

$$(5) \quad II) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n s_n^{ij} = n(p+n).$$

Now if we use (3) and (1), we easily obtain

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n s_n^{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{j+1}\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(n+p+j)}{\Gamma(j)\Gamma(n)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(p+j+1)\Gamma(n-j+1)} \cdot {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1-n, n+p+1, p+j \\ p+j+1, p+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right).$$

The ${}_3F_2(1)$ on the right of (6) is a terminating series but is not of Saalschützian type since the sum of the numerator parameters is equal to the sum of the denominator parameters.

To evaluate this particular ${}_3F_2(1)$, we start with the formula

$$(7) \quad {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b, c \\ e, f \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) = \frac{\Gamma(e)\Gamma(f)\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(s+b)\Gamma(s+c)} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} e-a, f-a, s \\ s+b, s+c \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right),$$

where $s = e + f - a - b - c$, (see Bailey [3], page 14, (3.2.1)).

If we substitute $1 - n, n + p + 1, p + j, p + j + 1, p + 1$ for a, b, c, e, f , then $s = 0$, the ${}_3F_2(1)$ on the right in (7) reduces to unity, and a simple limiting process shows that the ratio $\frac{\Gamma(0)}{\Gamma(1-n)}$ must be replaced by $(-1)^{n+1}\Gamma(n)$.

Hence we obtain

$$(8) \quad {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1-n, n+p+1, p+j \\ p+j+1, p+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) = (-1)^{n+1}(p+j) \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+1)}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

To prove Theorem I, we now only need to use (8) in (6).

To prove Theorem II, we sum from $j = 1$ to $j = n$ on both sides of (4) and we immediately obtain

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n s_n^{ij} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\Gamma(n+p+1)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(p+1)} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 1-n, n+p+1 \\ p+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) = n(p+n)$$

by using Gauss's theorem, (see Erdélyi [2], page 104, (46)).

An interesting result can be obtained from the fact that

$$H_n S_n = S_n H_n = I$$

where I is the unit matrix.

In terms of the matrix elements, we have

$$(9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n h_{ik} s_n^{kj} = \delta_{ij} \quad \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Now from (2), (3), and (1), the last relation implies that

$$(10) \quad {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} 1-n, n+p+1, p+i, p+j \\ p+i+1, p+j+1, p+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \\ = (-1)^{j+1} \frac{(p+i)(p+j)\Gamma(j)\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n-j+1)}{(p+1)_n(p+j)_n} \delta_{ij}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

This can be proved directly. Indeed the ${}_4F_3(1)$ is a terminating Saalschützian series, and hence, applying Whipple's transformation (Bailey [3], page 94), we obtain a terminating well-poised ${}_6F_4(1)$ which can be summed (Bailey [3], page 25, (4.3.3)) to yield (10).

However, it seems worth noting that if we use the contiguous function relation

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)F = \alpha_1 F(\alpha_1 +) - \alpha_2 F(\alpha_2 +)$$

in Rainville ([4], page 82, eq. (14)), with $\alpha_1 = p + i, \alpha_2 = p + j$, we obtain

$${}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} 1-n, n+p+1, p+i, p+j \\ p+i+1, p+j+1, p+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \\ = \frac{1}{i-j} \left[(p+i) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1-n, n+p+1, p+j \\ p+j+1, p+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \right. \\ \left. - (p+j) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1-n, n+p+1, p+i \\ p+i+1, p+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \right] = 0$$

for $i \neq j$ by using (8).

The author wishes to thank Dr. F. M. Goodspeed for his valuable suggestions and criticism.

Université Laval
Quebec, Canada

1. R. B. SMITH, "Two theorems on inverses of finite segments of the generalized Hilbert matrix," *MTAC*, v. 13, 1959, p. 41.
2. A. ERDÉLYI, W. MAGNUS, F. OBERHETTINGER & F. G. TRICOMI, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, v. I, McGraw-Hill, 1953.
3. W. N. BAILEY, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, 1935.
4. E. D. RAINVILLE, *Special Functions*, Macmillan Company, 1960.